ARCHIVED COURT-TEXT MIRROR — NOT AN OFFICIAL OR CERTIFIED COURT PDF

Shamim Akhtar vs The Registrar General And Ors on 13 October, 2026

Source: https://indiankanoon.org/doc/35274122/
Retrieved: 6 November 2026. Local typeset reproduction of the public Indian Kanoon court text.
Pagination differs from the court original. Verify against the court record.

[Cites 1, Cited by 0]

Calcutta High Court

Shamim Akhtar vs The Registrar General And Ors on 13 October, 2026

WBCHCO0026532026

sm

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

APOT/136/2026
IA NO:GA/1/2026
SHAMIM AKHTAR
VERSUS
THE REGISTRAR GENERAL AND ORS.

Mr. S. E. Huda, Adv.
Ms. Nabeela Akbar, Adv.
Ms. Saima Amin, Adv.
Mr. Sk. Aptabuddin, Adv.
...for the Petitioner

Mr. Debashis Banerjee Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Jana, Adv.
...for Respondent Nos.1 & 2
Mr. Ajit Kr. Mishra, AGP
Dr. N. G. Khaitan, Adv.
...for the State

CORAM: RAVINDRA VITHALRAO GHUGE, CJ
&
OM NARAYAN RAI, J
Date: 13-10-2026

ORDER (Per Court)

1. The Learned Advocate representing the High Court
2

submits that the State Government has introduced a fresh 100 point reservation policy qua the OBC, in the light of the S.L.P filed by the State before the Hon'ble Supreme Court posing a challenge to the judgment of this Court dated 22nd May, 2024 in W.P.O. 60 of 2011 (Amal Chandra Das v. State of West Bengal & Ors.). The said SLP was withdrawn by the State. As a consequence, the selection process which is the subject matter of this Writ Petition, which has passed the first phase and is approaching the second phase, has been interjected by the said new reservation policy, meaning thereby, that the new reservation policy has now been made applicable to the same selection process, albeit, from the second phase.

2. We find that the issue whether such new reservation policy could be made applicable to a selection process, which is already underway having completed the first phase and approaching the second phase, is a matter of circumspection. Let the Learned Advocates take instructions and address the Court on the next date. If advised, the Learned Advocate for the High Court may even consult the Learned Advocate General for guidance.

3. List on 13th November, 2026 in the urgent supplementary list.

(OM NARAYAN RAI, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
(CHIEF JUSTICE)

Archived court-text mirror print of https://indiankanoon.org/doc/35274122/ — not an official or certified court PDF. Page 2